The RNA-binding protein bicaudal C regulates polycystin 2 in the kidney by antagonizing miR-17 activity

Uyen Tran1,*, Lise Zakin2,*, Axel Schweickert3, Raman Agrawal1, Remziye Döger1, Martin Blum3, E. M. De Robertis2 and Oliver Wessely1,4,†

SUMMARY
The RNA-binding protein Bicaudal C is an important regulator of embryonic development in C. elegans, Drosophila and Xenopus. In mouse, bicaudal C (Bicc1) mutants are characterized by the formation of fluid-filled cysts in the kidney and by expansion of epithelial ducts in liver and pancreas. This phenotype is reminiscent of human forms of polycystic kidney disease (PKD). Here, we provide data that Bicc1 functions by modulating the expression of polycystin 2 (Pkd2), a member of the transient receptor potential (TRP) superfamily. Molecular analyses demonstrate that Bicc1 acts as a post-transcriptional regulator upstream of Pkd2. It regulates the stability of Pkd2 mRNA and its translation efficiency. Bicc1 antagonized the repressive activity of the miR-17 microRNA family on the 3' UTR of Pkd2 mRNA. This was substantiated in Xenopus, in which the pronephric defects of bicc1 knockout were rescued by reducing miR-17 activity. At the cellular level, Bicc1 protein is localized to cytoplasmic foci that are positive for the P-body markers GW182 and HEDLs. Based on these data, we propose that the kidney phenotype in Bicc1−/− mutant mice is caused by dysregulation of a microRNA-based translational control mechanism.
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INTRODUCTION
The vertebrate kidney is essential to conserve water, electrolytes and metabolites, as well as to remove metabolic waste products from the body. It develops through three successive and increasingly complex renal structures: the pronephros, mesonephros and metanephros (Saxén, 1987; Vize et al., 2002). These three kidney forms appear very different, but all rely on the same functional unit, the nephron. The structure and formation of the nephron is evolutionarily conserved (Mohberg et al., 2000; Zhou and Vize, 2004; Dressler, 2006; Raciti et al., 2008). The kidney as a whole, and the nephron in particular, is an example of a tubular organ (Hogan and Kolodziej, 2002). As such, the kidney has attracted much attention in understanding how mesenchymal cells undergo the mesenchymo-ectodermal transition (MET) to form the highly specialized renal tubules. In addition, the integrity of the tubules needs to be maintained throughout life to promote proper kidney function. In humans, the disruption of these processes results in a wide array of genetic diseases. Among these, polycystic kidney diseases (PKDs) are the leading cause of end-stage renal disease in children and adults (Torres and Harris, 2007; Wilson and Gollav, 2007). They are characterized by fluid-filled cysts that result from unregulated expansion of renal epithelial cells. The most frequent form of PKD, autosomal dominant PKD (ADPKD), is caused by mutations in PKD1 and PKD2 (Burn et al., 1995; Hughes et al., 1995; Mochizuki et al., 1996; The International Polycystic Kidney Disease Consortium, 1995). These genes encode polycystin 1 (PKD1; polycystic kidney disease 1), an 11-transmembrane-domain protein, and polycystin 2 (PKD2; polycystic kidney disease 2), a member of the transient receptor potential (TRP) superfamily. PKD1 and PKD2 are present in a cation channel complex that is involved in mechanosensation-triggered Ca2+ influx into cells. The second type of PKD, autosomal recessive PKD (ARPKD), is primarily caused by mutations in a single gene, PKHD1, which encodes a receptor-like membrane protein of unknown function known as polyductin, fibrocytin or tigmin (Hildebrand et al., 1997; Omuchic et al., 2002; Ward et al., 2002; Xiong et al., 2002). Many animal models of PKD have been developed to better understand the process of cyst formation (Guay-Woodford, 2003; Drummond, 2005). Among these, mice with spontaneous mutations in the bicaudal C (Bicc1) locus are the least understood (Cogswell et al., 2003).

Bicc1 encodes an evolutionarily conserved RNA-binding molecule that consists of five N-terminal KH (hnRNP K homology) RNA-binding domains and a C-terminal protein-protein interaction SAM (sterile alpha motif) domain. Bicaudal C was originally identified in a Drosophila mutagenesis screen as a gene in which heterozygous females produced embryos with ‘double-abdomen’ phenotypes (Mohler and Wieschaus, 1986). Subsequent studies in both Drosophila and C. elegans suggest that Bicaudal C regulates mRNA stability and translation via modulation of mRNA polyadenylation (Mahone et al., 1995; Saffman et al., 1998; Wang et al., 2002; Suh et al., 2006; Chicoine et al., 2007). Previously, we identified the Xenopus and mouse homologs of Bicaudal C (Wessely and De Robertis, 2000; Wessely et al., 2001). Interestingly, bicc1 is expressed in the renal epithelial cells of the Xenopus pronephros and loss of Bicc1 results in a PKD-like phenotype (Tran et al., 2007). Eliminating Bicc1 protein using antisense morpholino oligomers (MOs) impairs the physiological role of the pronephros by interfering with its osmoregulatory function, which leads to edema formation in the Xenopus embryo. At the molecular level, Bicc1 is required for the differentiation of renal cells of the late distal tubule and pronephric duct.
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In mouse, Bicc1 is expressed in the meso- and metanephric kidney (Wessely et al., 2001). Here, we now further investigate the biological role of Bicc1 by eliminating the gene using homologous recombination. The Bicc1 mutant mice rarely survived postnatally and developed severe PKD. At birth, cysts were detected along the entire length of the nephron. Interestingly, the loss-of-function phenotype of Bicc1 was remarkably similar to that of Pkd2. Experiments using the Xenopus pronephros, the mouse metanephric kidney and human HEK293T cells showed that both genes actually function in the same pathway, with Bicc1 acting upstream of Pkd2. Moreover, Bicc1 was localized to cytoplasmic foci that contain proteins involved in post-transcriptional regulation of mRNAs, and Bicc1 modulated Pkd2 protein levels by antagonizing microRNA (miRNA)-mediated repression within the 3′ UTR of Pkd2 mRNA.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
Gene targeting
The Bicc1 mutation was performed by fusing the β-galactosidase (lacZ) gene with exon 4 of Bicc1 and by deleting part of exon 4 and all of exons 5-8. Correct integration of the targeting construct was confirmed by Southern blot (see Fig. 1A for the position of the 5′ and 3′ probes). Electroporation and injection of the positive clones was performed by the ES Cell and Transgenic Core facilities at UCLA. Mice carrying the Bicc1 mutation were backcrossed into the B6SJFl/J hybrid strain (Jackson Laboratories) and all the experiments described here used the B6SJFl/J hybrid.

Xenopus embryo manipulations
Xenopus embryos obtained by in vitro fertilization were maintained in 0.1% modified Barth medium (Sive et al., 2000) and staged according to Nieuwkoop and Faber (Nieuwkoop and Faber, 1994). The antisense MOs (GeneTools, LLC) used in this study were (5′ to 3′): xBicC-MO1, GGGACAAGATGCTATTTTAAAGC; xBicC-MO2, GCCACTAC-TCTCTTCAATCATCTCCG; Pkd2-MO, GGTGTGTATTCTGTCGAGTGTCATCGC; and mir-17-MO, ACTACCTGACCTTGAAAGCCTTTGA. Unless otherwise indicated, a total of 3.2 pmol of Pkd2-MO, mir17-MO and Std-MO or a mixture of 3.2 pmol xBicC-MO1 and 3.2 pmol xBicC-MO2 (xBicC-MO1+2) was injected radially at the 2- to 4-cell stage into Xenopus embryos.

For synthetic mRNA, pCS2-xBicC* (Tran et al., 2007), pCS2-βCIC-GFP, pCS2-Pkd2 and pCS2-Pkd2-myc were linearized with NotI and transcribed with SP6 RNA polymerase. pXEX[Gal-Pkd2(short_UTR) and pXEX[Gal-Pkd2(long_UTR) were linearized with Asp718 and transcribed with T7 RNA polymerase using the mMessage mMachine (Ambion). For the synthetic mir-17 duplex, two oligos (5′-ARCrCrUrCtArCrArCrUrArGrUrCrArGrUrG-3′ and 5′-CrUrUrArCrArGrUrGrUrGrUA-3′) were synthesized and annealed (Integrated DNA Technologies). Rescue experiments and miRNA reporter assays in Xenopus and HEK293T cells were performed as previously described (Tran et al., 2007, Agrawal et al., 2009).

In situ hybridization, immunohistochemistry, lectin staining and histology
Whole-mount and paraplast section in situ hybridizations were performed as previously described (Tran et al., 2007; Agrawal et al., 2009). The sequence of the LNA-modified mir-17 degenerate oligonimer is 5′-CTACAGAATCTGAGAAGACAGNAGTLM4DAGLNACNNATTTLMAG-3′.

For immunohistochemistry and lectin staining, mouse kidneys were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde and Xenopus embryos were fixed in Dent's fixative. The following antibodies/lectins were used: anti-acetylated α-tubulin (Sigma); peroxidase-conjugated Dolichos biflorus agglutinin (DBA, Sigma); anti-Pkd2 (Millipore); anti-phospho-histone H3 (Millipore); biotinylated Lotus tetragonolobus agglutinin (LTA, Vector Laboratories); anti-Nk2 (Sic12a1) (kind gift of Dr Mark Knepper, NIH); and anti-Pena (DAKO), β-galactosidase staining was performed on cryostat sections or whole-mounts following the protocol described by Ma et al. (Ma et al., 2002) with minor modifications in the fixation timing. For histological staining, tissues were fixed in Bouin's Fixative and stained with Hematoxylin and Eosin (H&E), Periodic Acid Schiff (PAS) or Mallory's Trichrome.

RT-PCR and polyclonadenal assay
Metanephric kidneys were processed for reverse transcription using standard protocols. Isolation of capped mRNA was performed using the mRNA-ONLY Eukaryotic mRNA Isolation Kit (Epicentre Biotechnologies). Conventional PCR for mouse Bicc1 was performed using primers hBicc1 upper (5′-GGGTTGTCCTCTCTGTT-3′) and hBicc1 lower (5′-AGAGTGATTGGGGGTTTGTT-3′), which amplify a 377 bp fragment covering exons 9 to 11. Quantitative PCR was performed using the Applied Biosystems 7500 Fast Real-time PCR System and TaqMan Gene Expression Assays.

The length of the poly(A) tail of Pkd2 mRNA was determined using RNA ligation-coupled RT-PCR (RL-PCR) as previously described (Charlesworth et al., 2004) using the Pkd2 primer 5′-CTGGTAGTCTCTCCTCCTGT-3′. To visualize the Pkd2-specific amplification products, the PCR reactions were separated on a 1% agarose gel and processed for non-radioactive Southern blotting using a Pkd2-specific probe.

Protein analysis
HEK293T cells were transfected using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen) following the manufacturer's instructions. Kidneys and HEK293T cells were lysed in T-PER Tissue Protein Extraction Reagent (Thermo Scientific) and processed for western blot analysis using standard protocols. For the subcellular localization studies, transfected HEK293T cells were cultured on Lab-TekII chamber slides (Nalgene Nunc) and processed for immunofluorescence analysis 48 hours post-transfection. Stress granule formation was studied in HeLa cells treated with 20 μM olomoucine (Sigma) for 45 minutes in serum-free medium.

The following primary antibodies were used: anti-α-tubulin (Sigma); anti-calregulin (Santa Cruz); anti-Eif3f (Santa Cruz); anti-Eif4E (Santa Cruz); anti-GM130 (BD Biosciences); anti-GW182 (Abcam and Santa Cruz); anti-Eif3b (BD Biosciences); anti-p70 S6 kinase-1 (Cell Signaling); and anti-p70 S6 kinase-2 (BD Biosciences). The following secondary antibodies were used: anti-mouse HRP (BD Biosciences) and anti-rabbit HRP (Cell Signaling). Signals were visualized using Supersignal west Femto Maximum Sensitivity substrate (Thermo Scientific). For statistics, the data were analyzed using the unpaired Student's t-test (Graphpad). All experiments were repeated at least three times and results were expressed as the mean ± s.e.m.

RESULTS
Expression of bicaudal C in the kidney
Bicaudal C (Bicc1) has been shown to be mutated in two mouse models of PKD, bpk and jckp (Cogswell et al., 2003). bpk mice, in particular, are widely used as a late onset model of PKD (Sweeney et al., 2000; Shillingford et al., 2006). However, the molecular mechanism of cyst formation by impaired Bicc1 function is still poorly understood. To address this, we generated a targeted mutation of Bicc1 in mouse, in which parts of exon 4 were fused in frame to β-galactosidase, replacing exons 4-8 (Fig. 1A). The fusion protein lacks all known functional domains of Bicc1 (the RNA-binding KH domains and the protein-protein interaction SAM domain). Southern blot and RT-PCR analyses confirmed proper targeting of the Bicc1 locus and the absence of alternatively spliced transcripts (Fig. 1B-D; see Fig. S1A in the supplementary material; data not shown), supporting the conclusion that these mice lack a functional Bicc1 protein.

To better understand the kidney phenotype of Bicc1 mice, we first analyzed the expression of Bicc1 by in situ hybridization at different stages of kidney development. At embryonic day (E) 11.5, Bicc1 mRNA was detected in the cranial and caudal tubules of the mesonephros, the Wolfian duct and the first branch of the ureteric bud (UB) tree (Fig. 1E; data not shown). Later, Bicc1 was present in the UB tree, but was also found in renal vesicles and in the comma- and S-shaped bodies (Fig. 1F-H; data not shown). At E15.5, Bicc1 mRNA was detected in all epithelial components of the kidney (Fig. 1I-K). lacZ staining faithfully recapitulated the expression of Bicc1.
mRNA at early stages of development in the posterior notochord/ventral node and the developing endoderm (see Fig. S1B-C’ in the supplementary material) (Wessely et al., 2001; Blum et al., 2007). However, in the kidney, β-galactosidase activity was mainly observed in the proximal tubules at E18.5 (Fig. 1L). This discrepancy was probably due to reduced stability of the Bicc1-β-galactosidase fusion protein as the lacZ mRNA pattern was indistinguishable from that of Bicc1 mRNA in the kidneys of Bicc1 heterozygous mice (see Fig. S1D,D’ in the supplementary material).

**Polycystic kidney disease phenotype in Bicc1−/− mice**

Bicc1 heterozygous intercrosses showed a lower than expected ratio of homozygous mutant progeny (see Table S1 in the supplementary material). The lethality of Bicc1−/− mice increased during development. Although Mendelian ratios were observed in embryos up to E14.5, more than half of the mutant mice died perinatally, for unknown reasons. Similar to bpk and jcpk mice (Nauta et al., 1993; Flaherty et al., 1995), surviving Bicc1−/− mice developed severely enlarged kidneys on both sides, caused by dramatic polycystic malformations (Fig. 2A,B,I). Cells lining the cysts lost their cuboidal shape and adopted a squamous appearance (Fig. 2D,F). Despite the increased size of the mutant kidneys, the nephrogenic zone was reduced (Fig. 2A,B). These mice also developed cysts in the bile ducts of the liver and the excretory ducts of the pancreas (see Fig. S2 in the supplementary material). In PKD, cysts arise from different segments of the nephron. To identify the origin of cysts in Bicc1−/− mice, segment-specific markers were analyzed. As shown in Fig. 2C-H, cysts at birth [post-natal day (P) zero, P0] were derived from glomeruli, proximal tubules and, to a lesser extent, from the thick ascending limb of the loop of Henle and collecting ducts. When Bicc1−/− kidneys were analyzed at earlier stages, cysts were first detected at E15.5. At this stage, cysts were mainly of glomerular origin, but extended towards more distal segments in subsequent stages (see Fig. S3 in the supplementary material). Interestingly, the early phase of cyst formation was not caused by uncontrolled proliferation. No obvious changes in proliferation were detected performing quantitative PCR (qPCR) analysis using multiple genes, as the downregulation of Pkd2 mRNA was more pronounced at E18.5. Western blot analyses comparing kidneys from Bicc1−/−,
Bicc1\textsuperscript{+/\textminus} and Bicc1\textsuperscript{–/–} littersmates at E15.5, i.e. at the onset of cyst development, showed a dramatic decrease in Pkd2 protein levels (using two different antibodies; Fig. 3D,E and see Fig. S8A in the supplementary material). Interestingly, this reduction was dose dependent, as heterozygous kidneys also had lower amounts of Pkd2 compared with the wild type.

To explore the significance of this observation, we turned to Xenopus, in which the loss of Bicc1 results in a PKD-like phenotype (Tran et al., 2007). As shown in Fig. 3F, the expression of \textit{pkd2}\textsuperscript{mRNA} could rescue the effects of Bicc1\textsuperscript{mo} injections. We followed the same strategy described by Tran et al. (Tran et al., 2007), assaying for the expression of \textit{nbcc-1} in the late distal tubule by in situ hybridization. Although this paradigm represents only part of the PKD-like phenotype, it provides a powerful readout to quantitatively assess Bicc1 and Pkd2 function in the pronephros. We categorized embryos into those with bilateral expression of \textit{nbcc-1} mRNA into a single blastomere. Knockdown of Xenopus Pkd2 protein with an antisense MO (\textit{Pkd2-MO}) resulted in a PKD-like phenotype highly reminiscent of that observed in embryos lacking Bicc1 (Tran et al., 2007) (see Figs S5-S7 in the supplementary material). These defects are specific as they were not seen in embryos that were microinjected with a standard control MO (Tran et al., 2007) (data not shown). In addition, the expression of \textit{nbcc-1} mRNA into a single blastomere served as a loading control. Western blot analyses comparing several mouse litters at E15.5 and normalized to actin. Average values and s.d. are indicated (*, P<0.05, Student’s t-test).

Epistasis analysis between Bicc1 and Pkd2 in the Xenopus pronephros

In addition to these molecular observations, the phenotype of Bicc1\textsuperscript{+/\textminus} embryos is similar to that of Pkd2 mutants (Wu et al., 2000; Pennekamp et al., 2002). Bicc1\textsuperscript{–/–} mice showed kidney, liver and pancreatic cysts (Fig. 2; see Fig. S2 in the supplementary material), as well as defects in left-right patterning (data not shown). Therefore, we decided to test the interaction between Bicc1 and Pkd2 using the Xenopus pronephric kidney. Knockdown of Xenopus Pkd2 protein with an antisense MO (\textit{Pkd2-MO}) resulted in a PKD-like phenotype highly reminiscent of that observed in embryos lacking Bicc1 (Tran et al., 2007) (see Figs S5-S7 in the supplementary material). These defects are specific as they were not seen in embryos that were microinjected with a standard control MO (Tran et al., 2007) (data not shown). In addition, the expression of \textit{nbcc-1} mRNA into a single blastomere served as a loading control. Western blot analyses comparing several mouse litters at E15.5 and normalized to actin. Average values and s.d. are indicated (*, P<0.05, Student’s t-test). Whole-mount in situ hybridization for Pkd2 mRNA on uninjected and xBicc-MO1+2-injected Xenopus embryos at stage 39.
in situ hybridization for nbc-1 mRNA. As previously observed (Tran et al., 2007), nbc-1 expression in the late distal tubule was lost upon injection of xBicC-MO1+2 (Fig. 4A-A′). When pkd2 mRNA was injected, the expression of nbc-1 was restored on the injected side in 52% of cases (Fig. 4A′-B). Interestingly, in the reciprocal experiment, bicc1 mRNA was unable to rescue the effects of the injected Pkd2-MO, whereas a pkd2 mRNA construct (pkd2-myc) that is not targeted by the Pkd2-MO did rescue this expression domain (Fig. 4C-D).

Together, these data suggested that Bicc1 acts upstream of Pkd2 and is necessary for Pkd2 activity. Based on these observations, we propose that either the Pkd2 mRNA itself, or a gene that regulates its expression, is a target for Bicc1 activity (Fig. 4E). In the absence of Bicc1, the spatiotemporal regulation of Pkd2 is disturbed, but not completely disrupted.

**Subcellular localization of Bicc1**

In order to understand the molecular mechanism of Bicc1, we next studied its subcellular localization. We used a Xenopus Bicc1-GFP fusion construct (xBicc-C-GFP) that has the same biological activity as its untagged counterpart, i.e. it induces ectopic endoderm formation in whole embryos and endodermin mRNA in ectodermal explants (see Fig. S8B in the supplemental material; data not shown) (Wessely and De Robertis, 2000). mRNA encoding xBicc-C-GFP was microinjected into the animal pole of Xenopus embryos and analyzed by fluorescence microscopy at gastrula stage (Fig. 5A-B). The protein was not nuclear, but was instead detected in cytoplasmic foci of unknown identity. To further investigate this localization, the xBicc-C-GFP fusion protein was transfected into HEK293T cells and analyzed by immunofluorescence 48 hours later. xBicc-C-GFP localization was compared with staining by a panel of antibodies that recognize subcellular cytoplasmic compartments: the Golgi apparatus (GM130; Golgag2), endoplasmic reticulum (calreculin; calreticulin) and lysosomes (Lamp2). As shown in Fig. 5C-E, xBicc-C-GFP did not colocalize with any of these proteins, suggesting that it is not part of these structures.

Another structure that appears as distinct cytoplasmic foci are the so-called ‘processing bodies’ (P-bodies, or GW-bodies) (Parker and Sheth, 2007). Interestingly, immunofluorescence using antibodies against two components of these foci, GW182 (Tnrc6a) and HEDLs (Edc4) (Kedersha and Anderson, 2007), showed overlapping expression with the xBicc-C-GFP foci (Fig. 5F-G). This colocalization was confirmed in multiple cell lines (IMCD3, LLC-PK1, MDCK and HeLa), as well as with two additional constructs: Flag-tagged Xenopus Bicc1 and a mouse Bicc1-GFP fusion protein (Fig. 5H-I; data not shown).

P-bodies are closely related to a second class of RNA granules known as stress granules. These are normally not present in cells, but are induced under adverse conditions to halt mRNA metabolism and are dynamically linked to P-bodies (Anderson and Kedersha, 2008). To explore whether Bicc1 is also found in stress granules, HeLa cells were transfected with xBicc-C-GFP. Stress granule formation was induced by the addition of clotrimazole and visualized by immunofluorescence using antibodies against Eif3h (Eif3B; which stains only stress granules) and Eif4E (which stains P-bodies and stress granules). Under these conditions, xBicc-C-GFP was found in large aggregates that were positive for these stress granule markers (Fig. 5I-J). Based on these results, we conclude that Bicc1 is a component of the cytoplasmic mRNA metabolism machinery.

**Bicc1 is a post-transcriptional regulator**

GW182 protein has been shown to be involved in mRNA degradation, stability, polyadenylation and miRNA activity (Parker and Sheth, 2007). To study whether Bicc1 regulates Pkd2 levels via such mechanisms, we first re-evaluated the qPCR and western blot data from the Bicc1 mouse kidneys (Fig. 3B,D,E; data not shown). The average decrease in Pkd2 mRNA levels, comparing six independent pairs of E15.5 kidneys from Bicc1+/+ and Bicc1−/− littermates, was 29% (Fig. 6A). Similar calculations for Pkd2 protein levels from seven independent pairs showed a 54% decrease (Fig. 3E). When analyzed in this manner, Pkd2 protein levels were more substantially decreased than mRNA levels.
Xenopus Bicc1-GFP fusion protein (xBicC-GFP) was injected into the animal region of translationally active capped mRNA. To distinguish between total mRNA degradation and is preceded by changes in the level of Fig. 5. Subcellular localization of Bicc1. (A,B) mRNA encoding a Xenopus Bicc1-GFP fusion protein (xBicC-GFP) was injected into the animal region of Xenopus embryos and analyzed at gastrula stage by immunofluorescence microscopy. Inset is a magnified view of a single cell (arrow). (C–H) HEK293T cells were transfected with plasmids encoding xBicC-GFP (C–G) or a mouse Bicc1-GFP fusion protein (mBicC-GFP; H–H) and processed for immunofluorescence with antibodies against Eif4E (red) and Eif3\(^\text{m}\) (blue). (I–J) HeLa cells were transfected with psCS2-xBicC-GFP and were either left untreated (I–\(^\text{m}\)) or treated with 20\(\mu\)M clotrimazole (J–\(^\text{m}\)). Stress granule formation was visualized with antibodies against Eif4E (red) and Eif3\(^\text{n}\) (blue). Note that even in the untreated cells, xBicC-GFP is partially colocalized with Eif4E, which is a marker for P-bodies and stress granules.

levels. Post-transcriptional regulation is often accompanied by mRNA degradation and is preceded by changes in the level of translationally active capped mRNA. To distinguish between total and capped mRNA, a 5'-phosphate-dependent exonuclease was used to degrade non-capped RNAs. qPCR analysis of these samples demonstrated that in Bicc1\(^{-/-}\) kidneys, the reduction in the percentage of capped Pkd2 mRNA was greater than that in total Pkd2 mRNA (Fig. 6A). As with total mRNA (Fig. 3B, Fig. 6A), the reduction in capped Pkd2 mRNA in Bicc1\(^{-/-}\) kidneys was stage dependent. The differences at E15.5 were rather modest, whereas those at E18.5 were very pronounced. This effect was not accompanied by changes in the polyadenylation of Pkd2 mRNA. RNA ligation-coupled RT-PCR (RL-PCR) (Charlesworth et al., 2004) did not detect any obvious differences in the length of the poly(A) tail of Pkd2 mRNA in Bicc1\(^{+/+}\) and Bicc1\(^{-/-}\) mouse kidneys at E15.5 (Fig. 6B).

Since neither capping nor polyadenylation of Pkd2 mRNA was significantly changed at E15.5, i.e. at the onset of cyst formation, we next asked whether overexpression of Bicc1 could directly increase the translation of Pkd2 mRNA. Post-transcriptional regulation normally resides within the 3’UTR of a given mRNA. Interestingly, two different variants of the Pkd2 3’UTR could be found in databases: a long UTR corresponding to the published full-length Pkd2 sequence (GenBank NM_000861) and a shorter one that lacks 1.3 kb in the middle of the 3’UTR (GenBank BC062969), presumably owing to alternative splicing (Fig. 6C). Plasmids containing both Pkd2 variants were transfected into HEK293T cells in the presence or absence of Xenopus Bicc1 and processed for western blot analysis. As shown in Fig. 6D-F, Bicc1 significantly increased the amount of Pkd2 protein. This increase required the RNA-binding domain of Bicc1 because a construct lacking the KH domains (xBicCAKH) did not show such an effect (Fig. 6D; see Fig. S9C in the supplementary material). It also required the 3’UTR of Pkd2 because a construct completely lacking the 3’UTR (Pkd2\(_{no\text{UTR}}\)) was resistant to the activity of Bicc1 (Fig. 6E,F’).

Bicc1 protein colocalized with GW182 (Fig. 5F,F’), and GW182 is a crucial component in the regulation of mRNA translation and stability via miRNAs (Liu et al., 2005; Rehwinkel et al., 2005). Thus, it seemed plausible that the regulation of Pkd2 by Bicc1 involved miRNAs. To explore this, the 3’UTR of Pkd2 mRNA was analyzed for potential miRNA binding sites using TargetScan (Version 5.0), PicTar and DIANA microT (version 3.0). As shown in Fig. 6C, two evolutionarily conserved miRNA binding sites, one for the miR-17 family and one for miR-194, were detected. However, the short 3’UTR of Pkd2 only contained a miR-17 binding site. Even though we do not have any evidence that a Pkd2 mRNA with the short 3’UTR exists in vivo, the fact that this construct was still regulated by Bicc1 (Fig. 6E,F) suggests that Bicc1 acts via the miR-17 family. In situ hybridization using a degenerate locked nucleic acid (LNA)-modified oligomer that recognizes three members of the miR-17 family (miR-17, miR-20b and miR-106a) detected expression in the mouse metanephric kidney at E14.5 and P0 and in the Xenopus pronephros (see Fig. S9A-C in the supplementary material). To assess whether this miR-17 binding site in the 3’UTR of Pkd2 was functional, two assays were performed. First, HEK293T cells were transfected with Pkd2(miR-17mut), a construct in which the seed sequence of the miR-17 binding site is mutated so that it does not bind miR-17 (Fig. 6C). This construct, in contrast to its non-mutated counterpart, no longer showed any regulation of Pkd2 protein by Bicc1 (Fig. 6E,F’). Moreover, the mutated construct had a consistently higher baseline expression of Pkd2 (Fig. 6E, compare lanes 1 and 5), arguing that this miR-17 binding site plays a role in the modulation of Pkd2 expression in HEK293T cells.
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Secondly, to test whether the putative miR-17 binding site is responsive to miR-17, the long and short 3’UTRs of Pkd2 were fused to a nuclear β-galactosidase gene (nlacZ). Synthetic mRNA of these constructs was injected into the animal region of Xenopus embryos in the absence or presence of a synthetic miR-17 duplex. Embryos were processed for β-galactosidase staining at stage 10. The 3’UTR constructs in the absence of the miR-17 duplex showed strong lacZ staining (Fig. 6G,G’). Co-injection of the miR-17 duplex significantly reduced this staining. To quantify these miR-17 effects, mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs) were transfected with a dual luciferase reporter construct that harbors the 3’UTR of Pkd2 (pmirGLO-Pkd2-3’UTR) in the presence or absence of miR-17, or, as control, miR-30a-5p (pCS2-miR-17, pCS2-miR-30a-5p). As shown in Fig. 6H, miR-17 reduced luciferase expression by 37%, whereas miR-30a-5p did not have any repressive effect. Moreover, this repression was specific, as no effect was observed when the miR-17 binding site was mutated (pmirGLO-Pkd2-3’UTR-mut).

Bicc1 and miR-17

The experiments described above supported the hypothesis that Bicc1 acts as a post-transcriptional regulator of Pkd2 mRNA via its miR-17 binding site. However, the miR-17 duplex, as also seen with other miRNAs (Filipowicz et al., 2008), reduced the expression of the Pkd2 3’UTR reporters (Fig. 6G,G’), whereas Bicc1 increased it (Fig. 6D-F). Thus, a more logical interpretation of our data is that Bicc1 actually releases the repression by miR-17 (Fig. 7D,D’). This was not due to changes in miR-17 levels in Bicc1 mutants. qPCR analyses of representative miR-17 family members (corresponding to the three different primary transcripts) did not detect any differences in expression levels between Bicc1+/+ and Bicc1−/− kidneys (data not shown). This argued that Bicc1 and miR-17 both converge on the Pkd2 transcript. We tested this hypothesis using the PKD-like phenotype in Xenopus as a paradigm. miR-17 activity was knocked down using an antisense MO that targets several members of the miR-17 family (miR-17-MO, Fig. 7A). Xenopus embryos were injected with xBicC-
MOI+2 alone, or together with miR-17-MO, and assayed for nbc-1 expression in the late distal tube by in situ hybridization. bicc1 morphants lost nbc-1 (Fig. 7B, B′, C), whereas miR-17-MO had no effect on nbc-1 expression (data not shown). However, upon simultaneous reduction of miR-17 and Bicc1 activity, nbc-1 mRNA levels were recovered in 50% of the embryos (Fig. 7B′, C). This suggested that the miR-17 family acted either downstream of, or parallel to, Bicc1, further supporting the proposed model (Fig. 7D, D′).

Together, the fact that the miR-17 family is expressed in the kidney and that Pkd2, a gene with a miR-17 binding site, is affected by Bicc1, support the hypothesis that Bicc1 is part of a post-transcriptional regulatory complex that is involved in epithelial homeostasis and which, when disrupted, leads to PKD.

**DISCUSSION**

Bicaudal C has been shown to be an important developmental regulator in *Drosophila*, *Xenopus*, *C. elegans* and mouse (Mahone et al., 1995; Saffman et al., 1998; Wessely and De Robertis, 2000; Wang et al., 2002; Cogswell et al., 2003; Suh et al., 2006; Tran et al., 2007; Maisonneuve et al., 2009). Here, we extend previous studies that showed that Bicc1 is required for the proper development of the mouse metanephric kidney (Cogswell et al., 2003). Loss of Bicc1 resulted in renal cyst formation. These cysts were initially located in the glomerulus and the proximal tubules, but were later found along the entire length of the nephron. This phenotype is reminiscent of human forms of PKD and adds to the existing animal models for PKD (Guay-Woodford, 2003; Torres and Harris, 2007). However, the molecular nature of the cystic phenotype in Bicc1 mutant mice remained obscure. Based on the following observations, we now propose that Bicc1 functions as a post-transcriptional regulator of Pkd2. (1) The Bicc1 and Pkd2 mouse knockout phenotypes both result in the formation of cysts in the kidney, pancreas and liver, as well as in defects in left-right asymmetry (this study, data not shown) (Wu et al., 2000; Pennekamp et al., 2002). (2) Mice lacking a functional Bicc1 protein show reduced expression levels of Pkd2 mRNA and protein. (3) *Xenopus* embryos lacking Pkd2 develop a PKD-like phenotype that is highly reminiscent of that of embryos lacking Bicc1 (Tran et al., 2007). (4) pkd2 mRNA rescues the effects of bicc1 knockdown in *Xenopus*, but bicc1 mRNA does not rescue *pkd2* morphants. (5) Bicc1 enhances the translation of Pkd2 mRNA in HEK293T cells. (6) Bicc1 protein colocalizes with proteins that are involved in the post-transcriptional regulation of mRNAs. (7) Pkd2 mRNA contains a binding site for the miR-17 family in its 3′UTR, and knockdown of miR-17 can rescue the phenotype of bicc1 morphants in *Xenopus*.

One of the most revealing aspects of this study was the realization that Bicc1 is not localized to the nucleus, but acts as a post-transcriptional regulator in the cytoplasm (Fig. 5) (Maisonneuve et al., 2009; Stagner et al., 2009). The protein was localized to cytoplasmic foci that also contain proteins known to be involved in cytoplasmic mRNA turnover and was recruited to stress granules upon treatment with clotrimazole. It is noteworthy that the number of Bicc1-positive foci was much higher than the number of P-bodies detectable in HEK293T cells. Thus, whether Bicc1 is a bona fide P-body protein or whether it is a part of a more specialized subset of the cytoplasmic mRNA maintenance machinery remains to be determined. In particular, it will be important to verify the subcellular localization of the endogenous protein. However, one tempting speculation is that Bicc1 is part of the submicroscopic P-body subcomplex that has recently been described (Franks and Lykke-Andersen, 2007). The implications from the subcellular localization of Bicc1 are in agreement with data concerning its function in invertebrates. In C. elegans, GLD-3, a homolog of Bicaudal C, has been shown to act as a translational regulator by functioning as a specificity subunit for the cytoplasmic poly(A) polymerase GLD-2 (Wang et al., 2002). During germ line development, the GLD-2–GLD-3 complex binds to the 3′UTR of glld-1 mRNA and thereby regulates the rate of translation of GLD-1 protein (Suh et al., 2006). In *Drosophila*, Bicaudal C mutant flies develop a ‘double-abdomen’ phenotype due to ectopic expression of the posterior determinant Oskar throughout the egg (Mahone et al., 1995; Saffman et al., 1998). Molecular analysis demonstrated that Bicaudal C is required for the correct timing and localized expression of Oskar (Saffman et al., 1998), a process that is probably regulated by the interaction of Bicaudal C with the CCR4-NOT deadenylase (Chicoine et al., 2007). At the molecular level, we propose that Bicc1 antagonizes the repressive activity of a miR-17-containing silencing complex that is present on the 3′UTR of Pkd2 (Fig. 7D, D′). The nature of this antagonism remains unknown. Bicc1 could directly replace...
individual proteins of the RNP silencing complex (e.g. argonaute 2; Eif2c2). Alternatively, its binding could convert the RNP complex from an inhibitory to an activating complex, as has recently been shown (Vasudevan et al., 2007). One corollary of this model is that Bicc1 does not function in a one-to-one relationship with one gene (e.g. Pkd2), but instead regulates multiple targets (see below). It probably recognizes its target mRNAs via an RNA interface generated by the interaction between members of the miR-17 miRNA family and the 3’UTR. A similar scenario has recently been described for post-transcriptional regulation via the AU-rich element (ARE), in which a complex between the ARE, fragile X mental retardation 1 (FXR1) and miR369-3 regulates a subset of target genes (Vasudevan and Steitz, 2007; Vasudevan et al., 2007). The proposed mechanism might also explain why, despite being initially described in Drosophila in 1986 (Mohler and Wieschaus, 1986), the function of Bicaucaul C has been so difficult to define and why many attempts to identify the targets of its activity have been unsuccessful. For example, the small decrease in Pdk2 mRNA levels in Bicc1−/− mutant mice would be disregarded in a microarray-based approach looking for twofold differences in gene expression.

This model also provided an explanation for one remaining conundrum of our present study: namely, if Pkd2 were the only target of Bicc1, the reduction of Pkd2 protein levels by ~50% should have been sufficient to cause early onset cyst formation. However, heterozygous mice lacking one copy of Pkd2 do not show this early phenotype, but instead develop a limited number of cysts later in life (Wu et al., 2000). Similarly, if Pkd2 were the only target of Bicc1 activity, then one would expect that the complete loss of Pkd2 in Pkd2−/− mice would have a phenotypically stronger effect than the 50% reduction of Pkd2 in the Bicc1−/− mice. Therefore, Bicc1 has to regulate additional genes to cause such a strong cystic phenotype. This notion is not surprising as Bicc1 is an RNA-binding molecule and RNA-binding molecules normally regulate multiple targets. Based on our model, the presence of miR-17 binding sites serves as a predictive tool to identify these additional targets of Bicc1. Indeed, using this bioinformatics approach we detected miR-17 binding sites in several genes involved in PKD (see Fig. S9D in the supplementary material): Pkd1 and Glis3 have a highly conserved binding site, whereas Pkh1d, Nek8, Nphp1, Ifi68 (Polaris; Tg737) and Nphp3 have weakly conserved sites, while a third group of genes (Cep290, Hnf1b and Glis2) had sites for miR-17-3p, a miRNA transcribed from the opposite strand of the miR-17 precursor RNA.

Based on the presence of miR-17 binding sites in the 3’UTR of multiple PKD genes, we would also expect to find facets of the phenotype that have still evaded detection. For example, a decrease in Ifi68 expression might result in subtle defects in ciliogenesis. However, we have not been able to detect such ciliary defects either in Xenopus (Tran et al., 2007) or mouse (data not shown) by immunofluorescence, but this will need a thorough analysis using electron microscopy. In addition, the miR-17 miRNA family has been implicated in many biologically important processes, including the cell cycle and cancer, and in stem cells (Cloonan et al., 2008; Foshay and Gallicano, 2008; Mendell, 2008). Mouse lacking miR-17 family members develop a range of phenotypes, but an analysis of the kidneys is still lacking (Ventura et al., 2008). Interestingly, transgenic mice overexpressing miR-17 show overall growth retardation, yet from all the organs analyzed, the kidneys look the most normal (Shan et al., 2009). The fact that these mice do not develop renal cysts is not surprising, as the present study proposes that one function of Bicc1 is to protect the kidney from superfluous miR-17 activity. Instead, a cystic phenotype may only be observed once the Bicc1 gene dose is reduced.

In conclusion, the present study reveals a novel and provocative aspect of kidney development and disease. The post-transcriptional regulation of genes involved in PKD adds a new level of complexity. Bicc1 is, to our knowledge, the first gene to be genetically linked to this regulatory process. In addition, this study might very well spearhead similar studies in other organ systems, as it is highly unlikely that the kidney is the only organ in which post-transcriptional regulation plays such an important role.
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